arXiv:1412.1683v2 [cs.CG] 3 Dec 2016

Randomized embeddings with slack, and high-dimensional Approximate Nearest Neighbor

Evangelos Anagnostopoulos[1], Ioannis Z. Emiris[1], and Ioannis Psarros[1]

[1]Dept. of Informatics & Telecommunications, University of Athens, Greece

December 6, 2016

Abstract

The approximate nearest neighbor problem (ϵ-ANN) in high dimensional Euclidean space has been mainly addressed by Locality Sensitive Hashing (LSH), which has polynomial dependence in the dimension, sublinear query time, but subquadratic space requirement. In this paper, we introduce a new definition of "low-quality" embeddings for metric spaces. It requires that, for some query point q, there exists an approximate nearest neighbor among the pre-images of the $k > 1$ approximate nearest neighbors in the target space. Focusing on Euclidean spaces, we employ random projections in order to reduce the original problem to one in a space of dimension inversely proportional to k.

The k approximate nearest neighbors can be efficiently retrieved by a data structure such as BBD-trees. The same approach is applied to the problem of computing an approximate near neighbor, where we obtain a data structure requiring linear space, and query time in $O(dn^{\rho})$, for $\rho \approx 1 - \epsilon^2/\log(1/\epsilon)$. This directly implies a solution for ϵ-ANN, while achieving a better exponent in the query time than the method based on BBD-trees. Better bounds are obtained in the case of doubling subsets of ℓ_2, by combining our method with r-nets.

We implement our method in C++, and present experimental results in dimension up to 500 and 10^6 points, which show that performance is better than predicted by the analysis. In addition, we compare our ANN approach to E2LSH, which implements LSH, and we show that the theoretical advantages of each method are reflected on their actual performance.

1 Introduction

Nearest neighbor searching is a fundamental computational problem with several applications in Computer Science and beyond. Let us focus on the Euclidean version of the problem. Let X be a set of n points in d-dimensional Euclidean space ℓ_2^d. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the inherent Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. The problem consists in building a data structure such that for any query point q, one may report a point $p \in X$ for which $\|p-q\| \leq \|p'-q\|$, for all $p' \in X$; then p is said to be a nearest neighbor of q. However, an exact solution to high-dimensional nearest neighbor search in sublinear time requires prohibitively heavy resources. Thus, most approaches focus on the less demanding and more relevant task of computing the approximate nearest neighbor, or ϵ-ANN. Given a real parameter $\epsilon > 0$, a $(1+\epsilon)$-approximate nearest neighbor to a query point $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a point p in X such that

$$\|q-p\| \leq (1+\epsilon) \cdot \|q-p'\|, \text{ for all } p' \in X.$$

Hence, under approximation, the answer can be any point whose distance from q is at most $(1+\epsilon)$ times larger than the distance between q and its true nearest neighbor.

The corresponding augmented decision problem (with witness) is known as the near neighbor problem, and is defined as follows. A data structure for the approximate near neighbor problem ((ϵ, R)-ANN) satisfies the following conditions: if there exists some point p in X such that $\|q-p\| \leq R$, then an algorithm solving this problem reports $p' \in X$ such that $\|q-p'\| \leq (1+\epsilon) \cdot R$, whereas if there is no point p in X such that $\|q-p\| \leq (1+\epsilon) \cdot R$, then the algorithm reports "Fail". It is known that one can solve not-so-many instances of the decision problem with witness and obtain a solution for the ϵ-ANN problem.

Our contribution Deterministic space partitioning techniques perform well in solving ϵ-ANN when the dimension is relatively low, but are affected by the curse of dimensionality. To address this issue, randomized methods such as Locality Sensitive Hashing (LSH) are more efficient when the dimension is high. One might try applying the celebrated Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma, followed by standard space partitioning techniques, but the properties of the projected pointset are too strong for designing an overall efficient ANN search method (cf. Section 2).

We introduce a notion of "low-quality" randomized embeddings and we employ standard random projections à la Johnson-Lindenstrauss in order to define a mapping to $\ell_2^{d'}$, for

$$d' = O(\log \frac{n}{k}/\epsilon^2),$$

such that an approximate nearest neighbor of the query lies among the pre-images of k approximate nearest neighbors in the projected space. Moreover, an analogous statement can be made for the augmented decision problem of reporting an (ϵ, R)-ANN, which also implies a solution for the ϵ-ANN problem thanks to known results. Both of our methods employ optimal space, avoid the curse of dimensionality and lead to competitive query times. While the first approach is more straightforward, the second outperforms the first in terms of complexity, due to a simpler auxiliary data structure. However, reducing ϵ-ANN to (ϵ, R)-ANN is non-trivial and might not lead to fast methods in practice.

The first method leads to Theorem 11, which offers a new randomized algorithm for approximate nearest neighbor search with the following complexities. Given n points in ℓ_2^d, the data structure, which is based on Balanced Box-Decomposition (BBD) trees, requires optimal $O(dn)$ space, and reports an $(1+\epsilon)^3$-approximate nearest neighbor with query time in $O(dn^\rho \log n)$, where function $\rho = 1 - \Theta(\epsilon^2/\ln\ln n)$, for $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$, and shall be fully specified in Section 4. The total preprocessing time is $O(dn \log n)$. For each query $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the preprocessing phase succeeds with constant probability. The low-quality embedding is extended to finite subsets of ℓ_2 with bounded expansion rate c (see Subsection 4.2 for definitions). The pointset is now mapped to a space of dimension $O(\log c)$, and each query costs roughly $O((c^{\log\log c})d \log n)$.

The second method applies the same ideas to the augmented decision version of the problem. This problem is known to be as hard as ϵ-ANN (up to polylogarithmic factors). However, this simplification allows us to combine the aforementioned randomized embeddings with simpler data structures in the reduced space. This is the topic of Section 5, and Theorem 20 states that there exists a randomized data structure with linear space and linear preprocessing time which, for any query $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, reports an $(1+\epsilon)^3$-approximate near neighbor (or a negative answer) in time $O(dn^\rho)$, where $\rho = 1 - \Theta(\epsilon^2/\log(1/\epsilon))$. We are able to extend our results to doubling subsets of ℓ_2 (see Subsection 5.2 for definitions) by applying our approach to an r-net of the input pointset. The

resulting data structure has linear space, preprocessing time which depends on the time required to compute an r-net, and query time $\left(2/\epsilon\right)^{O(ddim(X))}$, where $ddim(X)$ is the doubling dimension of X.

We also present experiments, based on synthetic and image datasets, that validate our approach and our analysis. We implement our low quality embedding method in C++ and present experimental results in up to 500 dimensions and 10^6 points. One set of inputs, along with the queries, follows the "planted nearest neighbor model" which will be specified in Section 6. In another scenario, we assume that the near neighbors of each query point follow the Gaussian distribution. We also used the ANN_SIFT1M [JDS11] dataset which contains a collection of 1 million vectors in 128 dimensions that represent images. Apart from showing that the embedding has the desired properties in practice, specifically those of Lemma 10, we also implement our overall approach for computing ϵ-ANN using the `ANN` library for BBD-trees, and we compare with an LSH implementation, namely E2LSH. We show that the theoretical advantages of each method are reflected in practice.

The notation of key quantities is the same throughout the paper.

The paper extends and improves ideas from [AEP15], except for Section 5 which is entirely new, which achieves better complexity bounds with a conceptually simpler data structure.

Paper organization The next section offers a survey of existing techniques. Section 3 introduces our embeddings to dimension lower than predicted by the Johnson-Linderstrauss Lemma. Section 4 states our main results about ϵ-ANN search in ℓ_2 and for points with bounded expansion rate. Section 5 extends our ideas to the (ϵ, R)-ANN problem in ℓ_2 and in doubling subsets of ℓ_2. Section 6 presents experiments to validate our approach. We conclude with open questions.

2 Existing work

This section details the relevant results that existed prior to this work.

As mentioned above, an exact solution to high-dimensional nearest neighbor search, in sublinear time, requires heavy resources. One notable approach to the problem [Mei93] shows that nearest neighbor queries can be answered in $O(d^5 \log n)$ time, using $O(n^{d+\delta})$ space, for arbitrary $\delta > 0$.

In [AMN+98], they introduced the Balanced Box-Decomposition (BBD) trees. The BBD-trees data structure achieves query time $O(c \log n)$ with $c \leq d/2\lceil 1 + 6d/\epsilon\rceil^d$, using space in $O(dn)$, and preprocessing time in $O(dn \log n)$. BBD-trees can be used to retrieve the $k \geq 1$ approximate nearest-neighbors at an extra cost of $O(d \log n)$ per neighbor. BBD-trees have proved to be very practical, as well, and have been implemented in software library `ANN`.

Another relevant data structure is the Approximate Voronoi Diagrams (AVD). They are shown to establish a tradeoff between the space complexity of the data structure and the query time it supports [AMM09]. With a tradeoff parameter $2 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, the query time is $O(\log(n\gamma) + 1/(\epsilon\gamma)^{\frac{d-1}{2}})$ and the space is $O(n\gamma^{d-1} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$. They are implemented on a hierarchical quadtree-based subdivision of space into cells, each storing a number of representative points, such that for any query point lying in the cell, at least one of the representatives is an approximate nearest neighbor. Further improvements to the space-time trade offs for ANN are obtained in [AdFM11].

One might apply the Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma and map the points to $O(\epsilon^{-2}\log n)$ dimensions with distortion equal to $1 + \epsilon$ aiming at improving complexity. In particular, AVD combined with the Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma have query time polynomial in $\log n$, d and $1/\epsilon$ but require

$n^{O(\log(1/\epsilon)/\epsilon^2)}$ space, which is prohibitive if $\epsilon \ll 1$. Notice that we relate the approximation error with the distortion for simplicity. Our approach (Theorem 20) requires $O(dn)$ space and has query time $O(dn^\rho)$, where $\rho \approx 1 - \epsilon^2/\log(1/\epsilon)$.

In high dimensional spaces, classic space partitioning data structures are affected by the curse of dimensionality, as illustrated above. This means that, when the dimension increases, either the query time or the required space increases exponentially. An important method conceived for high dimensional data is locality sensitive hashing (LSH). LSH induces a data independent random partition and is dynamic, since it supports insertions and deletions. It relies on the existence of locality sensitive hash functions, which are more likely to map similar objects to the same bucket. The existence of such functions depends on the metric space. In general, LSH requires roughly $O(dn^{1+\rho})$ space and $O(dn^\rho)$ query time for some parameter $\rho \in (0, 1)$. In [AI08] they show that in the Euclidean case, one can have $\rho = \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^2}$ which matches the lower bound of hashing algorithms proved in [OWZ14]. Lately, it was shown that it is possible to overcome this limitation by switching to a data-dependent scheme which achieves $\rho = \frac{1}{2(1+\epsilon)^2-1} + o(1)$ [AR15]. One different approach [Pan06] focuses on using near linear space but with query time proportional to $dn^{O(1/(1+\epsilon))}$ which is sublinear only when ϵ is large enough. The query time was later improved [AI08] to $dn^{O(1/(1+\epsilon)^2)}$ which is also sublinear only for large enough ϵ. For comparison, in Theorem 20 we show that it is possible to use near linear space, with query time roughly $O(dn^\rho)$, where $\rho \approx 1 - \epsilon^2/\log(1/\epsilon)$, achieving sublinear query time even for small values of ϵ.

Exploiting the structure of the input is an important way to improve the complexity of ANN. In particular, significant amount of work has been done for pointsets with low doubling dimension. In [HPM05], they provide an algorithm with expected preprocessing time $O(2^{\dim(X)} n \log n)$, space usage $O(2^{\dim(X)} n)$ and query time $O(2^{\dim(X)} \log n + \epsilon^{-O(\dim(X))})$ for any finite metric space X of doubling dimension $\dim(X)$. In [IN07] they provide randomized embeddings that preserve nearest neighbor with constant probability, for points lying on low doubling dimension manifolds in Euclidean settings. Naturally, such an approach can be easily combined with any known data structure for ϵ-ANN.

In [DF08] they present random projection trees which adapt to pointsets of low doubling dimension. Like kd-trees, every split partitions the pointset into subsets of roughly equal cardinality. Unlike kd-trees, the space is split with respect to a random direction, not necessarily parallel to the coordinate axes. Classic *kd*-trees also adapt to the doubling dimension of randomly rotated data [Vem12]. However, for both techniques, no related theoretical arguments about the efficiency of ϵ-ANN search were given.

In [KR02], they introduce a different notion of intrinsic dimension for an arbitrary metric space, namely its expansion rate c; it is formally defined in Subsection 4.2. The doubling dimension is a more general notion of intrinsic dimension in the sense that, when a finite metric space has bounded expansion rate, then it also has bounded doubling dimension, but the converse does not hold [GKL03]. Several efficient solutions are known for metrics with bounded expansion rate, including for the problem of exact nearest neighbor. In [KL04], they present a data structure which requires $c^{O(1)} n$ space and answers queries in $c^{O(1)} \ln n$. Cover Trees [BKL06] require $O(n)$ space and each query costs $O(c^{12} \log n)$ time for exact nearest neighbors. In Theorem 14, we provide a data structure for the ϵ-ANN problem with linear space and roughly $O((c^{\log(\log c/\epsilon)})d \cdot \log n))$ query time. The result concerns pointsets in d-dimensional Euclidean space.

3 Low Quality Randomized Embeddings

This section examines standard dimensionality reduction techniques and extends them to approximate embeddings optimized to our setting. In the following, we denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm and by $|\cdot|$ the cardinality of a set.

An embedding is *oblivious* when it can be computed for any point of a dataset or query set, without knowledge of any other point in these sets.

In [ABC+05], they consider non-oblivious embeddings from finite metric spaces with small dimension and distortion, while allowing a constant fraction of all distances to be arbitrarily distorted. In [BRS11], they present non-oblivious embeddings for the ℓ_2 case, which preserve distances in local neighborhoods. In [GK15], they provide a non-oblivious embedding which preserves distances up to a given scale and the target dimension mainly depends on $ddim(X)$ with no dependence on $|X|$. In general, embeddings based on probabilistic partitions are not oblivious. In [BG15], they solve ANN in ℓ_p spaces, for $2 < p < \infty$, by oblivious embeddings to ℓ_∞ or ℓ_2.

But, it is not obvious how to use a non-oblivious embedding in the scenario in which we preprocess a dataset and we expect a query to arrive. Therefore we focus on oblivious embeddings.

Let us now revisit the classic Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma:

Proposition 1. [JL84] *For any set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ there exists a distribution over linear mappings $f : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d'}$, where $d' = O(\log |X|/\epsilon^2)$, such that for any $p, q \in X$,*

$$(1-\epsilon)\|p-q\|^2 \leq \|f(p)-f(q)\|^2 \leq (1+\epsilon)\|p-q\|^2.$$

In the initial proof [JL84], they show that this can be achieved by orthogonally projecting the pointset on a random linear subspace of dimension d'. In [DG03], they provide a proof based on elementary probabilistic techniques, see also Lemma 6. In [IM98], they prove that it suffices to apply a gaussian matrix G on the pointset. G is a $d \times d'$ matrix with each of its entries independent random variables given by the standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$. Instead of a gaussian matrix, we can even apply a matrix whose entries are independent random variables with uniformly distributed values in $\{-1,1\}$ [Ach03].

However, it has been realized that this notion of randomized embedding is stronger than what is required for ANN searching. The following definition has been introduced in [IN07] and focuses only on the distortion of the nearest neighbor.

Definition 2. *Let (Y, d_Y), (Z, d_Z) be metric spaces and $X \subseteq Y$. A distribution over mappings $f : Y \to Z$ is a* nearest-neighbor preserving embedding *with distortion $D \geq 1$ and probability of correctness $P \in [0,1]$ if, $\forall \epsilon \geq 0$ and $\forall q \in Y$, with probability at least P, when $x \in X$ is such that $f(x)$ is an ϵ-ANN of $f(q)$ in $f(X)$, then x is a $(D \cdot (1+\epsilon))$-approximate nearest neighbor of q in X.*

Let us now consider a closely related problem. While in the ANN problem we search one point which is approximately nearest, in the k approximate nearest neighbors problem (ϵ-kANNs) we seek an approximation of the k nearest points, in the following sense. Let X be a set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$, let $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. The problem consists in reporting a sequence $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ of k distinct points such that the i-th point p_i is an $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation to the i-th nearest neighbor of q. Furthermore, the following assumption is satisfied by the search routine of certain tree-based data structures, such as BBD-trees.

Assumption 3. *Let $S' \subseteq X$ be the set of points visited by the ϵ-kANNs search such that $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\} \subseteq S'$ is the set of points which are the k nearest points to the query point q among the points in S'. Moreover, S is ordered w.r.t. distance from q, hence p_k is farthest. We assume that $\forall x \in X \setminus S'$, $d(x,q) > d(p_k,q)/(1+\epsilon)$.*

Assuming the existence of a data structure which solves ϵ-kANNs and satisfies Assumption 3, we propose to weaken Definition 2 as in the following definition.

Definition 4. *Let (Y, d_Y), (Z, d_Z) be metric spaces and $X \subseteq Y$. A distribution over mappings $f : Y \to Z$ is a* locality preserving embedding *with distortion $D \geq 1$, probability of correctness $P \in [0,1]$ and locality parameter k if, $\forall \epsilon \geq 0$ and $\forall q \in Y$, with probability at least P, when $S = \{f(p_1), \ldots, f(p_k)\}$ is a solution to ϵ-kANNs for q under Assumption 3, then there exists $f(x) \in S$ such that x is a $(D \cdot (1+\epsilon))$-approximate nearest neighbor of q in X.*

According to this definition we can reduce the problem of ϵ-ANN in dimension d to the problem of computing k approximate nearest neighbors in dimension $d' < d$.

We employ the Johnson-Lindenstrauss dimensionality reduction technique and, more specifically, the proof in [DG03].

Remark 5. *In the statements of our results, we use the term $(1+\epsilon)^2$ or $(1+\epsilon)^3$ for the sake of simplicity. Notice that we can replace $(1+\epsilon')^2$ by $1+\epsilon$ just by rescaling $\epsilon' \leftarrow \epsilon/4 \implies (1+\epsilon')^2 \leq 1+\epsilon$.*

Lemma 6. [DG03] *There exists a distribution over linear maps $A : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ s.t., for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|p\| = 1$:*

- *if $\beta^2 < 1$ then $\Pr[\|Ap\|^2 \leq \beta^2 \cdot \frac{d'}{d}] \leq exp(\frac{d'}{2}(1 - \beta^2 + 2\ln\beta))$,*
- *if $\beta^2 > 1$ then $\Pr[\|Ap\|^2 \geq \beta^2 \cdot \frac{d'}{d}] \leq exp(\frac{d'}{2}(1 - \beta^2 + 2\ln\beta))$.*

Now, a simple calculation shows the following.

Corollary 7. *If $\beta^2 < 1$ then $\Pr[\|Ap\|^2 \leq \beta^2 \cdot \frac{d'}{d}] \leq (e\beta^2)^{d'/2}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6,

$$\Pr[\|Ap\|^2 \leq \beta^2 \cdot \frac{d'}{d}] \leq (e^{-\beta^2+1+2\ln\beta})^{d'/2} \leq (e^{1+2\ln\beta})^{d'/2}.$$

□

The following inequality shall be useful.

Lemma 8. *For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$, the following holds:*

$$\frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^2} - 2\ln\frac{1}{1+\epsilon} - 1 > \epsilon^2.$$

Proof. Let $f(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^2} - 2\ln\frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)} - 1 - \epsilon^2$, which is continuous in $(0, 1/2]$. It suffices to show that $f(\epsilon) > 0$, for $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. Then we examine its derivative:

$$f'(\epsilon) = 2\epsilon\Big(\frac{2+\epsilon}{(1+\epsilon)^3} - 1\Big).$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$, we need to examine $\frac{2+\epsilon}{(1+\epsilon)^3} - 1$. We have,

$$\frac{2+\epsilon}{(1+\epsilon)^3} - 1 \geq 0 \iff 2+\epsilon \geq (1+\epsilon)^3 \iff \epsilon^3 + 3\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon - 1 \leq 0.$$

The last inequality holds when $\epsilon \leq z$, where $z \approx 0.32$. while $f'(\epsilon) < 0$ for $\epsilon \in (z, 1/2]$. Hence, $f(\epsilon)$ is an increasing function when $\epsilon \in (0, z]$ and decreasing in $[z, 1/2]$. Now, in the interval $\epsilon \in (0, z]$ we obtain $f(\epsilon) > f(0) = 0$ and in $\epsilon \in [z, 1/2]$ we obtain $f(\epsilon) \geq f(1/2) > 0.005$.

□

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 9. *Under the notation of Definition 4, there exists a randomized mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ which satisfies Definition 4 for $d' = O(\log \frac{n}{k}/\epsilon^2)$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$, distortion $D = (1+\epsilon)^2$ and probability of success $2/3$.*

Proof. Let X be a set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and consider map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d'} : v \mapsto \sqrt{d/d'} \cdot A\ v,$$

where A is a matrix chosen from a distribution as in Lemma 6. Without loss of generality the query point q lies at the origin and its nearest neighbor u lies at distance 1 from q. We denote by $c \geq 1$ the approximation ratio guaranteed by the assumed data structure (see Assumption 3). That is, the assumed data structure solves the $(c-1)$-kANNs problem. Let N be the random variable whose value indicates the number of "bad" candidates, that is

$$N = |\{x \in X\ :\ \|x\| > \gamma \wedge \|f(x)\| \leq \beta\}|,$$

where we define $\beta = c(1+\epsilon)$, $\gamma = c(1+\epsilon)^2$. Hence, by Lemma 6 and Lemma 8,

$$\mathbb{E}[N] \leq n \cdot exp(\frac{d'}{2}(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{\gamma^2} + 2\ln\frac{\beta}{\gamma})) \leq n \cdot exp(-d' \cdot \epsilon^2/2).$$

The event of failure is defined as the disjunction of two events:

$$N \geq k \ \vee \ \|f(u)\| \geq (\beta/c), \tag{1}$$

and its probability is at most equal to

$$\Pr[N \geq k] + exp(\frac{d'}{2}(1 - (\beta/c)^2 + 2\ln(\beta/c))),$$

by applying again Lemma 6. Now, we set $d' \geq 2\ln(\frac{6n}{k})/\epsilon^2$ and we bound these two terms. By Markov's inequality,

$$\Pr[N \geq k] \leq \frac{n}{k} \cdot exp(-d' \cdot \epsilon^2/2) \leq \frac{1}{6}.$$

In addition,

$$exp(\frac{d'}{2}(1 - (\beta/c)^2 + 2\ln(\beta/c))) \leq \Big(\frac{k}{6n}\Big)^{2-2\epsilon/3} < \frac{1}{6}.$$

Hence, there exists d' such that

$$d' = O(\log \frac{n}{k}/\epsilon^2)$$

and with probability at least 2/3, the following two events occur:

$$\|f(q) - f(u)\| \leq (1+\epsilon)\|u - q\|,$$

$$|\{p \in X | \|p - q\| > c(1+\epsilon)^2\|u - q\| \implies \|f(q) - f(p)\| \leq c(1+\epsilon)\|u - q\|\}| < k.$$

Let us consider the case when the random experiment succeeds, and let $S = \{f(p_1), \ldots, f(p_k)\}$ be a solution of the $(c-1)$-kANNs problem in the projected space, given by a data-structure which satisfies Assumption 3. It holds that $\forall f(x) \in f(X) \setminus S'$, $\|f(x) - f(q)\| > \|f(p_k) - f(q)\|/c$, where S' is the set of all points visited by the search routine.

If $f(u) \in S$, then S contains the projection of the nearest neighbor. If $f(u) \notin S$, then if $f(u) \notin S'$ we have the following:

$$\|f(u) - f(q)\| > \|f(p_k) - f(q)\|/c \implies \|f(p_k) - f(q)\| < c(1+\epsilon)\|u - q\|,$$

which means that there exists at least one point $f(p^*) \in S$ s.t. $\|q - p^*\| \leq c(1+\epsilon)\|u - q\|$. Finally, if $f(u) \notin S$ but $f(u) \in S'$ then

$$\|f(p_k) - f(q)\| \leq \|f(u) - f(q)\| \implies \|f(p_k) - f(q)\| \leq (1+\epsilon)\|u - q\|,$$

which means that there exists at least one point $f(p^*) \in S$ s.t. $\|q - p^*\| \leq c(1+\epsilon)^2\|u - q\|$.

Hence, f satisfies Definition 4 for $D = (1+\epsilon)^2$ and the theorem is established. □

4 Approximate Nearest Neighbor Search

This section combines tree-based data structures which solve ϵ-kANNs with the results of Section 3, in order to obtain an efficient randomized data structure which solves ϵ-ANN.

4.1 Finite subsets of ℓ_2

This subsection examines the general case of finite subsets of ℓ_2.

BBD-trees [AMN+98] require $O(dn)$ space, and allow computing k points, which are $(1+\epsilon)$-approximate nearest neighbors, in time $O((\lceil 1 + 6\frac{d}{\epsilon}\rceil^d + k)d \log n)$. The preprocessing time is $O(dn \log n)$. Notice, that BBD-trees satisfy Assumption 3.

The algorithm for the ϵ-kANNs search visits cells in increasing order with respect to their distance from the query point q. If the current cell lies at distance more than r_k/c, where r_k is the current distance to the kth nearest neighbor, the search terminates. We apply the random projection for distortion $D = 1+\epsilon$, thus relating approximation error to the allowed distortion; this is not required but simplifies the analysis.

Moreover, $k = n^\rho$; the formula for $\rho < 1$ is determined below. Our analysis then focuses on the asymptotic behavior of the term $O(\lceil 1 + 6\frac{d'}{\epsilon}\rceil^{d'} + k)$.

Lemma 10. *With the above notation, there exists $k > 0$ s.t., for fixed $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, it holds that $\lceil 1 + 6\frac{d'}{\epsilon}\rceil^{d'} + k = O(n^\rho)$, where $\rho = 1 - \Theta(\epsilon^2/(\epsilon^2 + \log(\max\{\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \log n\}))) < 1$.*

Proof. Recall that $d' \leq \frac{\tilde{c}}{\epsilon^2} \ln \frac{n}{k}$ for some appropriate constant $\tilde{c} > 0$. Since $(\frac{d'}{\epsilon})^{d'}$ is a decreasing function of k, we need to choose k s.t. $(\frac{d'}{\epsilon})^{d'} = \Theta(k)$. Let $k = n^\rho$. It is easy to see that $\lceil 1+6\frac{d'}{\epsilon} \rceil^{d'} \leq (c'\frac{d'}{\epsilon})^{d'}$, for some appropriate constant $c' \in (1, 7)$. Then, by substituting d', k we obtain:

$$\ln(c'\frac{d'}{\epsilon})^{d'} = \frac{\tilde{c}(1-\rho)}{\epsilon^2} \ln(\frac{\tilde{c}c'(1-\rho)\ln n}{\epsilon^3}) \ln n. \tag{2}$$

We assume $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ is a fixed constant. Hence, it is reasonable to assume that $\frac{1}{\epsilon} < n$. We consider two cases when comparing $\ln n$ to ϵ:

- $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \leq \ln n$. Substituting $\rho = 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\tilde{c}(\epsilon^2 + \ln(c' \ln n))}$ into equation (2), the exponent of n is bounded as follows:

$$\frac{\tilde{c}(1-\rho)}{\epsilon^2} \ln(\frac{\tilde{c}c'(1-\rho)\ln n}{\epsilon^3}) =$$

$$= \frac{\tilde{c}}{2\tilde{c}(\epsilon^2 + \ln(c' \ln n))} \cdot \big(\ln(c' \ln n) + \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \ln(2\epsilon^2 + 2\ln(c' \ln n)) \big) < \rho.$$

- $\frac{1}{\epsilon} > \ln n$. Substituting $\rho = 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\tilde{c}(\epsilon^2 + \ln \frac{c'}{\epsilon})}$ into equation (2), the exponent of n is bounded as follows:

$$\frac{\tilde{c}(1-\rho)}{\epsilon^2} \ln(\frac{\tilde{c}c'(1-\rho)\ln n}{\epsilon^3}) =$$

$$= \frac{\tilde{c}}{2\tilde{c}(\epsilon^2 + \ln \frac{c'}{\epsilon})} \cdot \big(\ln \ln n + \ln \frac{c'}{\epsilon} - \ln(2\epsilon^2 + 2\ln \frac{c'}{\epsilon}) \big) < \rho.$$

□

Notice that in both cases

$$d' = O(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2 + \log \log n}).$$

Combining Theorem 9 with Lemma 10 yields the following main theorem.

Theorem 11. *Given n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a randomized data structure which requires $O(dn)$ space and reports an $(1+\epsilon)^3$-approximate nearest neighbor in time*

$$O(dn^\rho \log n), \text{ where } \rho \leq 1 - \Theta(\epsilon^2/(\epsilon^2 + \log(\max\{\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \log n\}))) < 1$$

The preprocessing time is $O(dn \log n)$. For each query $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the preprocessing phase succeeds with any constant probability.

Proof. The space required to store the dataset is $O(dn)$. The space used by BBD-trees is $O(d'n)$ where d' is defined in Lemma 10. We also need $O(dd')$ space for the matrix A as specified in Theorem 9. Hence, since $d' < d$ and $d' < n$, the total space usage is bounded above by $O(dn)$.

The preprocessing consists of building the BBD-tree which costs $O(d'n \log n)$ time and sampling A. Notice that we can sample a d'-dimensional random subspace in time $O(dd'^2)$ as follows. First, we sample in time $O(dd')$, a $d \times d'$ matrix where its elements are independent random variables with the standard normal distribution $N(0, 1)$. Then, we orthonormalize using Gram-Schmidt in time $O(dd'^2)$. Since $d' = O(\log n)$, the total preprocessing time is bounded by $O(dn \log n)$.

For each query we use A to project the point in time $O(dd')$. Next, we compute its $k = n^\rho$ approximate nearest neighbors in time $O(d'n^\rho \log n)$ and we check these neighbors with their d-dimensional coordinates in time $O(dn^\rho)$. Hence, each query costs $O(d \log n + d'n^\rho \log n + dn^\rho) = O(dn^\rho \log n)$ because $d' = O(\log n)$, $d' = O(d)$. Thus, the query time is dominated by the time required for ϵ-kANNs search and the time to check the returned sequence of k approximate nearest neighbors. □

To be more precise, the probability of success, which is the probability that the random projection succeeds according to Theorem. 9, is at least constant and can be amplified to high probability of success with repetition. Notice that the preprocessing time for BBD-trees has no dependence on ϵ.

4.2 Finite subsets of ℓ_2 with bounded expansion rate

This subsection models some structure that the data points may have so as to obtain tighter bounds.

The bound on the dimension d' obtained in Theorem 9 is quite pessimistic. We expect that, in practice, the space dimension needed in order to have a sufficiently good projection is less than what Theorem 9 guarantees. Intuitively, we do not expect to have instances where all points in X, which are not approximate nearest neighbors of q, lie at distance $\approx (1+\epsilon)d(q, X)$. To this end, we consider the case of pointsets with bounded expansion rate.

Definition 12. *Let M be a metric space and $X \subseteq M$ be a finite pointset and let $B_p(r) \subseteq X$ denote the points of X lying in the closed ball centered at p with radius r. We say that X has (τ, c)-expansion rate if and only if, $\forall p \in M$ and $r > 0$,*

$$|B_p(r)| \geq \tau \implies |B_p(2r)| \leq c \cdot |B_p(r)|.$$

Theorem 13. *Under the notation of Definition 4, there exists a randomized mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ which satisfies Definition 4 for dimension $d' = O(\log c)$, distortion $D = (1+\epsilon)^2$ and constant probability of success, for pointsets with (τ, c)-expansion rate.*

Proof. We proceed in the same spirit as in the proof of Theorem 9.

Let X be a set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and consider map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d'} : v \mapsto \sqrt{d/d'} \cdot A\ v,$$

where A is a matrix chosen from a distribution as in Lemma 6. Without loss of generality the query point q lies at the origin and its nearest neighbor u lies at distance 1 from q. Let r_0 be the distance to the $\tau-$th nearest neighbor, excluding neighbors at distance $\leq (1+\epsilon)^2$. For $i > 0$, let $r_i = 2 \cdot r_{i-1}$ and set $r_0 = (1+\epsilon)^2$ (since, $r_0 \geq (1+\epsilon)^2$).

We distinguish the set of bad candidates according to whether they correspond to "close" of "far" points in the initial space. More precisely,

$$N_{close} = |\{x \in X : \|x\| \in [r_0, r_1) \wedge \|f(x)\| \leq \beta\}|,$$

$$N_{far} = |\{x \in X : \|x\| \geq r_1 \wedge \|f(x)\| \leq \beta\}|,$$

where $\beta = 1 + \epsilon$. Clearly, by Lemma 8, and for $d' \geq \ln c + 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[N_{close}] \leq c \cdot \tau \cdot exp(-d' \cdot \epsilon^2/2) = O(c \cdot \tau),$$

and similarly by Corollary 7,

$$\mathbb{E}[N_{far}] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c^{i+1}\tau \cdot \Big(\frac{e(1+\epsilon)^2}{r_i^2}\Big)^{d'/2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c^{i+1}\tau \cdot \Big(\frac{e}{2^{2i}}\Big)^{d'/2} = e^{d'/2} \cdot \tau \cdot c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c^i \Big(\frac{1}{2^i}\Big)^{d'} = O(\tau \cdot c^2).$$

Finally, using Markov's inequality, we obtain constant probability of success. □

Employing Theorem 13 we obtain a result analogous to Theorem 11 which is weaker than those in [KL04, BKL06] but underlines the fact that our scheme shall be sensitive to structure in the input data, for real world assumptions.

Theorem 14. *Given n points in ℓ_2^d with (τ, c)-expansion rate, for some constant c, there exists a randomized data structure which requires $O(dn)$ space and reports an $(1+\epsilon)^3$-approximate nearest neighbor in time*

$$O((c^{\log(\log c/\epsilon)} + \tau \cdot c^2)\, d \, \log n)).$$

The preprocessing time is $O(dn \log n)$. For each query $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the preprocessing phase succeeds with constant probability.

Proof. We combine the embedding of Theorem 13 with the BBD-trees. Then,

$$O\Big(\Big(\frac{\sqrt{d'}}{\epsilon}\Big)^{d'}\Big) = O\Big(\Big(\frac{\log c}{\epsilon}\Big)^{\log c}\Big)$$

and the number of approximate nearest neighbors in the projected space is

$$k = O(\tau \cdot c^2).$$

This proves the result. □

5 Approximate Near Neighbor

This section combines the ideas developed in Section 3 with a simple, auxiliary data structure, namely the grid, yielding an efficient solution for the (ϵ, R)-ANN problem.

Problem Definition Building a data structure for the Approximate Nearest Neighbor Problem reduces to building several data structures for the (ϵ, R)-ANN Problem. For completeness, we include the corresponding theorem.

Theorem 15. [HIM12, Thm 2.9] *Let P be a given set of n points in a metric space, and let $c = 1 + \epsilon > 1$, $f \in (0, 1)$, and $\gamma \in (1/n, 1)$ be prescribed parameters. Assume that we are given a data structure for the (c, r)-approximate near neighbor that uses space $S(n, c, f)$, has query time $Q(n, c, f)$, and has failure probability f. Then there exists a data structure for answering $c(1 + O(\gamma))$-NN queries in time $O(\log n)Q(n, c, f)$ with failure probability $O(f \log n)$. The resulting data structure uses $O(S(n, c, f)/\gamma \cdot \log^2 n)$ space.*

In the following, the $\tilde{O}$ notation hides factors polynomial in $1/\epsilon$ and $\log n$. When the dimension is high the problem has been solved efficiently by randomized methods based on the notion of LSH.

Definition 16 ((c, R)-ANN Problem (as studied in the high dimensional case))**.** *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and $|X| = n$. Given $\epsilon > 0, r > 0$, build a data structure which for any query $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the probability that the building phase of the data structure succeeds for q is at least constant.*

A natural generalization of the (ϵ, R)-ANN problem is the k-Approximate Near Neighbors Problem ((ϵ, R)-kANNs).

Definition 17 ((ϵ, R)-kANNs Problem)**.** *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $|X| = n$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, $R > 0$, build a data structure which, for any query $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$:*

- *if $|\{p \in X \mid \|q - p\| \leq R\}| \geq k$, then report $S \subseteq \{p \in X \mid \|q - p\| \leq (1 + \epsilon)R\}$ s.t. $|S| = k$,*
- *if $|\{p \in X \mid \|q - p\| \leq R\}| < k$, then report $S \subseteq \{p \in X \mid \|q - p\| \leq (1 + \epsilon)R\}$ s.t. $|\{p \in X \mid \|q - p\| \leq R\}| \leq |S| \leq k$.*

The following algorithm is essentially the bucketing method which is described in [HIM12] and concerns the case $k = 1$. Impose a uniform grid of side length $\epsilon/\sqrt{d}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Clearly, the distance between any two points belonging to one grid cell is at most ϵ. Assume $r = 1$. For each ball $B_q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \|x - q\| \leq r\}$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\overline{B_q}$ be the set of grid cells that intersect B_q.

In [HIM12], they show that $|\overline{B_q}| \leq (C/\epsilon)^d$. Hence, the query time is the time to compute the hash function, retrieve near cells and report the k neighbors:

$$O(d + k + (C/\epsilon)^d).$$

The required space usage is $O(dn)$.

Furthermore, we are interested in optimizing this constant C. The bound on $|\overline{B_q}|$ follows from the following fact:

$$|\overline{B_q}| \leq V_2^d(R),$$

where $V_2^d(R)$ is the volume of the ball with radius R in ℓ_2^d, and $R = \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{\epsilon}$. Now,

$$V_2^d(R) \leq \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{d \cdot \Gamma(d/2)} R^d = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{d(d/2-1)!} R^d \leq \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{(d/2)!} R^d \leq \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{e(d/(2e))^{d/2}} R^d \leq \frac{2^{d+1}(18)^{d/2}}{\epsilon^d e} \leq \frac{9^d}{\epsilon^d}.$$

Hence, $C \leq 9$.

Theorem 18. *There exists a data structure for the Problem 17 with required space $O(dn)$ and query time $O(d + k + (\frac{C}{\epsilon})^d))$, for $C \leq 9$.*

The following theorem is an analogue of Theorem 9 for the Approximate Near Neighbor Problem.

Theorem 19. *The $((1 + \epsilon)^2 c, R)$-ANN problem in $\mathbb{R}^d$ reduces to checking the solution set of the $(c, (1 + \epsilon)R)$-kANNs problem in $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$, where $d' = O(\log(\frac{n}{k})/\epsilon^2)$, by a randomized algorithm which succeeds with constant probability. The delay in query time is proportional to $d \cdot k$.*

Proof. The theorem can be seen as a direct implication of Theorem 9. The proof is indeed the same.

Let X be a set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and consider map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d'} : v \mapsto \sqrt{d/d'} \cdot A\ v,$$

where A is a matrix chosen from a distribution as in Lemma 6. Let $u \in X$ a point at distance 1 from q and assume without loss of generality that lies at the origin. Let N be the random variable whose value indicates the number of "bad" candidates, that is

$$N = |\,\{x \in X\ :\ \|x\| > \gamma\ \wedge\ \|f(x)\| \leq \beta\}\,|,$$

where we define $\beta = c(1+\epsilon)$, $\gamma = c(1+\epsilon)^2$. Hence, by Lemma 6 and Lemma 8,

$$\mathbb{E}[N] \leq n \cdot exp(\frac{d'}{2}(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{\gamma^2} + 2\ln\frac{\beta}{\gamma})) \leq n \cdot exp(-d' \cdot \epsilon^2/2).$$

The probability of failure is at most equal to

$$\Pr[N \geq k] + exp(\frac{d'}{2}(1 - (\beta/c)^2 + 2\ln(\beta/c))),$$

by applying again Lemma 6. Now, we bound these two terms. By Markov's inequality,

$$\Pr[N \geq k] \leq \frac{n}{k} \cdot exp(-d' \cdot \epsilon^2/2) \overset{d' \geq 2\ln(\frac{6n}{k})/\epsilon^2}{\leq} \frac{1}{6}.$$

In addition,

$$exp(\frac{d'}{2}(1 - (\beta/c)^2 + 2\ln(\beta/c))) \overset{d' \geq 2\ln(\frac{6n}{k})/\epsilon^2}{\leq} \left(\frac{k}{6n}\right)^{2-2\epsilon/3} < \frac{1}{6}$$

Hence, there exists d' such that

$$d' = O(\log\frac{n}{k}/\epsilon^2)$$

and with probability at least 2/3, these two events occur:

- $\|f(q) - f(u)\| \leq (1+\epsilon)$.
- $|\{p \in X | \|p-q\| > c(1+\epsilon)^2 \implies \|f(q) - f(p)\| \leq c(1+\epsilon)\}| < k$.

□

5.1 Finite subsets of ℓ_2

We are about to show what Theorem 20 implies for the data structure from Theorem 18.

Theorem 20. *There exists a data structure for the Problem 16 with $O(dn)$ required space and preprocessing time, and query time $\tilde{O}(dn^\rho)$, where $\rho = 1 - \Theta(\epsilon^2/(\log(1/\epsilon) + \epsilon^2)) < 1$.*

Proof.

$$\left(\frac{C}{\epsilon}\right)^{d'} \leq \left(\frac{C}{\epsilon}\right)^{20\ln\frac{20n}{k}/\epsilon^2} = \left(\frac{20n}{k}\right)^{20\ln\frac{C}{\epsilon}/\epsilon^2}$$

and for

$$k \geq 20n^{1-\epsilon^2/(20\ln(C/\epsilon)+\epsilon^2)} \implies \left(\frac{C}{\epsilon}\right)^{d'} \leq n^{1-\epsilon^2/(20\ln(C/\epsilon)+\epsilon^2)}.$$

Since, the data structure succeeds only with probability 9/10, it suffices to build it $O(\log n)$ times in order to achieve high probability of success. □

5.2 The case of doubling subsets of ℓ_2

In this section, we generalize the idea from [AEP15] for pointsets with bounded doubling dimension to obtain non-linear randomized embeddings for the (ϵ, R)-ANN problem.

Definition 21. *The doubling dimension of a metric space M is the smallest positive integer $ddim(M)$ such that every set S with diameter D_S can be covered by $2^{ddim(M)}$ (the doubling constant) sets of diameter $D_S/2$.*

Now, let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $|X| = n$ and X has doubling constant $\lambda_X = 2^{ddim(X)}$. Consider also $S_i \subseteq X$ with diameter $2r_i$. Then we need $\lambda_X^{\log\frac{8r_i}{\epsilon}}$ tiny balls $b_\epsilon \subseteq X$ of diameter $\epsilon/4$ in order to cover S_i. We can assume that $R = 1$, since we can scale X. The idea is that we first compute $X' \subseteq X$ which satisfies the following two properties:

- $\forall p, q \in X'\ \|p - q\| > \epsilon/8$,
- $\forall q \in X\ \exists p \in X'$ s.t. $\|p - q\| \leq \epsilon/8$.

This is an r-net for X for $r = \epsilon/8$. The obvious naive algorithm computes X' in $O(n^2)$ time. Better algorithms exist for the case of low dimensional Euclidean space [Har04]. Approximate r-nets can be also computed in time $2^{O(ddim(X))} n \log n$ for doubling metrics [HPM05] , assuming that the distance can be computed in constant time.

Then, for X' we know that each $S_i \subseteq X'$ contains $\leq \lambda_X^{\log\frac{8r_i}{\epsilon}}$ points, since $X' \subseteq X \implies ddim(X') \leq ddim(X)$.

Theorem 22. *The $((1+\epsilon)^2 c, R)$-ANN problem in $\mathbb{R}^d$ reduces to checking the solution set of the $(c, (1+\epsilon)R)$-kANNs problem in $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$, where $d' = O(ddim(X))$ and $k = (2/\epsilon)^{O(ddim(X)}$, by a randomized algorithm which succeeds with constant probability. Preprocessing costs an additional of $O(n^2)$ time and the delay in query time is proportional to $d \cdot k$.*

Proof. Once again we proceed in the same spirit as in the proof of Theorem 9.

Let X' be an $\epsilon/8$-net of X. Let $r_i = 2^{i+1}(1+\epsilon)$ for $i \geq 0$ and let $B_p(r) \subseteq X'$ denote the points of X' lying in the closed ball centered at p with radius r. We assume $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$. We make use of Corollary 7.

$$\mathbb{E}[N_{far}] \leq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} |B_p(r_i)| \cdot (\sqrt{e} \cdot \frac{(1+\epsilon)}{r_{i-1}})^{d'} \leq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \lambda_X^{\log(8r_i/\epsilon)} \cdot (\frac{\sqrt{e}}{2^i})^{d'} \leq \lambda_X^{1+\log(16/\epsilon)} \cdot e^{d'/2} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_X^i}{2^{i \cdot d'}} =$$

$$\overset{d' \geq 1+\log \lambda_X}{=} 2^{O(ddim(X)\log(2/\epsilon))} = \Big(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\Big)^{O(ddim(X))}$$

In addition,

$$\mathbb{E}[N_{close}] \leq \lambda_X^{O(\log(1/\epsilon))} \cdot exp(-d' \cdot \epsilon^2/20) \leq \lambda_X^{O(\log(1/\epsilon))} = \Big(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\Big)^{O(ddim(X))}.$$

The number of grid cells of sidewidth $\epsilon/\sqrt{d'}$ intersected by a ball of radius 1 in $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$ is also $(\frac{2}{\epsilon})^{O(ddim(X))}$. Notice, that if there exists a point in X which lies at distance 1 from q, then there exists a point in X' which lies at distance $1 + \epsilon/8$ from q. Finally the probability that the distance between the query point q and one approximate near neighbor gets arbitrarily expanded is less than $\lambda_X^{-\Theta(\epsilon^2)}$. □

Now using the above ideas we obtain a data structure for the (ϵ, R)-ANN problem.

Theorem 23. *There exists a data structure which solves the approximate nearest neighbor problem which requires space and preprocessing time $O(dn)$ and the query costs*

$$d\Big(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\Big)^{O(ddim(X))}.$$

For fixed $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the building process of the data structure succeeds with constant probability.



Figure 1: Plot of k as n increases for the "planted nearest neighbor model" datasets. The highest line corresponds to $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}$ and the dotted line to a function of the form n^ρ, where $\rho = 0.41, 0.39, 0.35$ that best fits the data.

6 Experiments

In this section we discuss two experiments we performed with the prototype implementation of our method for approximate nearest neighbor search described in section 4, to validate the theoretical

results of our contributions. In the first experiment, we computed the average value of the k nearest neigbors needed to check in the projected space in order to get an actual nearest neighbor in the original space in a worst-case dataset for the ANN problem, and we confirmed that it is indeed sublinear in n. In the second experiment, we made an ANN query time and memory usage comparison against E2LSH using both artificial and real life datasets.

6.1 Validation of k

In this section we present an experimental verification of our approach. We show that the number k of nearest neighbors in the projection space that we need to examine in order to find an approximate nearest neighbor in the original space depends sublinearly on n, thus validating in practice lemma 10.

Datasets We generated our own synthetic datasets and query points. We decided to follow two different procedures for data generation. First of all, as in [DIIM04], we followed the "planted nearest neighbor model". This model guarantees, for each query point q, the existence of a few approximate nearest neighbors while keeping all others points sufficiently far from q. The benefit of this approach is that it represents a typical ANN search scenario, where for each point there exist only a handful approximate nearest neighbors. In contrast, in a uniformly generated dataset, all points tend to be equidistant to each other in high dimensions, which is quite unrealistic.

In order to generate the dataset, first we create a set Q of query points chosen uniformly at random in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, for each point $q \in Q$, we generate a single point p at distance R from q, which will be its single (approximate) nearest neighbor. Then, we create more points at distance $\geq (1+\epsilon)R$ from q, while making sure that they shall not be closer than $(1+\epsilon)R$ to any other query point $q' \in Q, q' \neq q$. This dataset now has the property that every query point has exactly one approximate nearest neighbor, while all other points are at distance $\geq (1+\epsilon)R$.

We fix $R = 2$, let $\epsilon \in \{0.1, 0.2, 0.5\}, d = \{200, 500\}$ and the total number of points $n \in \{10^4, 2\times 10^4, \ldots, 5\times 10^4, 5.5\times 10^4, 6\times 10^4, 6.5\times 10^4, \ldots, 10^5\}$. For each combination of the above we created a dataset X from a set Q of 100 query points where each query coordinate was chosen uniformly at random in the range $[-20, 20]$.

The second type of datasets consisted again of sets of 100 query points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ where each coordinate was chosen uniformly at random in the range $[-20, 20]$. Each query point was paired with a random variable σ_q^2 uniformly distributed in $[15, 25]$ and together they specified a gaussian distribution in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of mean value $\mu = q$ and variance σ_q^2 per coordinate. For each distribution we drew n points in the same set as was previously specified.

Scenario We performed the following experiment for the "planted nearest neighbor model". In each dataset X, we consider, for every query point q, its unique (approximate) nearest neighbor $p \in X$. Then we use a random mapping f from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to a Euclidean space of lower dimension $d' = \frac{\log n}{\log\log n}$ using a gaussian matrix G, where each entry $G_{ij} \sim N(0,1)$. This matrix guarantees a low distortion embedding [IM98]. Then, we perform a range query centered at $f(q)$ with radius $\|f(q) - f(p)\|$ in $f(X)$: we denote by $rank_q(p)$ the number of points found. Then, exactly $rank_q(p)$ points are needed to be selected in the worst case as k-nearest neighbors of $f(q)$ in order for the approximate nearest neighbor $f(p)$ to be among them, so $k = rank_q(p)$.

For the datasets with the gaussian distributions we compute again the maximum number of points k needed to visit in the lower-dimensional space in order to find an ϵ-approximate nearest



Figure 2: Plot of k as n increases for the gaussian datasets. We see how increasing the number of approximate nearest neighbors in this case decreases the value of k

neighbor of each query point q in the original space. In this case the experiment works as follows: we find all the ϵ-approximate nearest neighbors of a query point q. Let S_q be the set containing for each query q its ϵ-kANNs. Next, let $p_q = \arg\min_{p \in S} \|f(p) - f(q)\|$. Now as before we perform a range query centered at $f(q)$ with radius $\|f(q) - f(p_q)\|$. We consider as k the number of points returned by this query.

Results The "planted nearest neighbor model" datasets constitute a worst-case input for our approach since every query point has only one approximate nearest neighbor and has many points lying near the boundary of $(1+\epsilon)$. We expect that the number of k approximate nearest neighbors needed to consider in this case will be higher than in the case of the gaussian distributions, but still expect the number to be considerably sublinear.

In Figure 1 we present the average value of k as we increase the number of points n for the planted nearest neighbor model. We can see that k is indeed significantly smaller than n. The line corresponding to the averages may not be smooth, which is unavoidable due to the random nature of the embedding, but it does have an intrinsic concavity, which shows that the dependency of k on n is sublinear. For comparison we also display the function $\sqrt{n}/2$, as well as a function of the form $n^\rho, \rho < 1$ which was computed by SAGE that best fits the data per plot. The fitting was performed on the points in the range $[50000, 100000]$ as to better capture the asymptotic behavior. In Figure 2 we show again the average value of k as we increase the number of points n for the gaussian distribution datasets. As expected we see that the expected value of k is much smaller than n and also smaller than the expected value of k in the worst-case scenario, which is the planted nearest neighbor model.

6.2 ANN experiments

In this section we present a preliminary comparison between our algorithm and the E2LSH [AI05] implementation of the LSH framework for approximate nearest neighbor queries.

Experiment Description We projected all the "planted nearest neighbor" datasets, down to $\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$ dimensions. We remind the reader that these datasets were created to have a single approximate nearest neighbor for each query at distance R and all other points at distance $> (1+\epsilon)R$. We then built a BBD-tree data structure on the projected space using the `ANN` library [Mou10] with the default settings. Next, we measured the average time needed for each query q to find its ϵ-kANNs, for $k = \sqrt{n}$, using the BBD-Tree data structure and then to select the first point at distance $\leq R$ out of the k in the original space. We compare these times to the average times reported by E2LSH range queries for $R = 2$, when used from its default script for probability of success 0.95. The script first performs an estimation of the best parameters for the dataset and then builds its data structure using these parameters. We required from the two approaches to have accuracy > 0.90, which in our case means that in at least 90 out of the 100 queries they would manage to find the approximate nearest neighbor. We also measured the maximum resident set size of each approach which translates to the maximum portion of the main memory (RAM) occupied by a process during its lifetime. This roughly corresponds to the size of the dataset plus the size of the data structure for the E2LSH implementation and to the size of the dataset plus the size of the embedded dataset plus the size of the data structure for our approach.

ANN Results It is clear from Figure 3 that E2LSH is faster than our approach by a factor of 3. However in Figure 4, where we present the memory usage comparison between the two approaches, it is obvious that E2LSH also requires more space. Figure 4 also validates the linear space dependency of our embedding method. A few points can be raised here. First of all, we supplied the appropriate range to the LSH implementation, which gave it an advantage, because typically that would have to be computed empirically. To counter that, we allowed our algorithm to stop its search in the original space when it encountered a point that was at distance $\leq R$ from the query point. Our approach was simpler and the bottleneck was in the computation of the closest point out of the k returned from the BBD-Tree. We conjecture that we can choose better values for our parameters d' and k. Lastly, the theoretical guarantees for the query time of LSH are better than ours, but we did perform better in terms of space usage as expected.



Figure 3: Comparison of average query time of our embedding approach against the E2LSH implementation.



Figure 4: Comparison of memory usage of our embedding approach against the E2LSH implementation.

Real life dataset We also compared the two approaches using the ANN_SIFT1M [JDS11] dataset which contains a collection of $1,000,000$ vectors in 128 dimensions. This dataset also provides a query file containing $10,000$ vectors and a groundtruth file, which contains for each query the IDs of its 100 nearest neighbors. These files allowed us to estimate the accuracy for each approach, as the fraction $\#hits/10000$ where $\#hits$ denotes, for some query, the number of times one of its 100 nearest neighbors were returned. The parameters of the two implementations were chosen empirically in order to achieve an accuracy of about 85%. For our approach we set the projection dimension $d' = 25$ and for the BBD-trees we specified 100 points per leaf and $\epsilon = 0.5$ for the ϵ-kANNs queries. We also used $k = \sqrt{n}$. For the E2LSH implementation we specified the radius $R = 240$, $k = 18$ and $L = 250$. As before, we measured the average query time and the maximum resident set size. Our approach required an average of 171.59msec per query, whilst E2LSH required 51.96msec. However our memory footprint was about 1.256 Gbytes and E2LSH used about 4.781 Gbytes.

7 Open questions

The present work has emphasized asymptotic complexity bounds, and showed that rather simple methods, carefully combined with a new embedding approach, can achieve almost record query times with optimal space usage. However, it should still be possible to enhance the practical performance of our method so as to unleash the potential of our approach and fully exploit its simplicity. This is the topic of future work, along with a detailed comparative study with other optimized implementations, which is beyond the scope of this paper.

In particular, checking the real distance of the query point to the neighbors, while performing an ϵ-kANNs search in the projection space, is more efficient in practice than naively scanning the returned sequence of k-approximate nearest neighbors, and looking for the closest point in the initial space. Moreover, our algorithm does not exploit the fact that BBD-trees return a sequence and not simply a set of neighbors.

Our embedding approach probably has further applications. One possible application is in com-

puting the k-th approximate nearest neighbor. The problem may reduce to computing all neighbors between the i-th and the j-th nearest neighbors in a space of significantly smaller dimension for some appropriate values $i < k < j$. Other possible applications include computing the approximate minimum spanning tree, or the closest pair of points.

References

[ABC+05] I. Abraham, Y. Bartal, T-H. H. Chan, K. Dhamdhere, A. Gupta, J. Kleinberg, O. Neiman, and A. Slivkins. Metric embeddings with relaxed guarantees. In *Proc. of the 46th Annual IEEE Symp. on Foundations of Computer Science*, FOCS '05, pages 83–100, Washington, DC, USA, 2005. IEEE Computer Society.

[Ach03] D. Achlioptas. Database-friendly random projections: Johnson-Lindenstrauss with binary coins. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 66(4):671–687, 2003.

[AdFM11] S. Arya, G. D. da Fonseca, and D. M. Mount. Approximate polytope membership queries. In *Proc. 43rd Annual ACM Symp. Theory of Computing*, STOC'11, pages 579–586, 2011.

[AEP15] E. Anagnostopoulos, I. Z. Emiris, and I. Psarros. Low-quality dimension reduction and high-dimensional approximate nearest neighbor. In *Proc. 31st International Symp. on Computational Geometry (SoCG)*, pages 436–450, 2015.

[AI05] A. Andoni and P. Indyk. E^{2}LSH 0.1 User Manual, Implementation of LSH: E2LSH, http://www.mit.edu/ ∼andoni/LSH, 2005.

[AI08] A. Andoni and P. Indyk. Near-optimal hashing algorithms for approximate nearest neighbor in high dimensions. *Commun. ACM*, 51(1):117–122, 2008.

[AMM09] S. Arya, T. Malamatos, and D. M. Mount. Space-time tradeoffs for approximate nearest neighbor searching. *J. ACM*, 57(1):1:1–1:54, 2009.

[AMN+98] S. Arya, D. M. Mount, N. S. Netanyahu, R. Silverman, and A. Y. Wu. An optimal algorithm for approximate nearest neighbor searching fixed dimensions. *J. ACM*, 45(6):891–923, 1998.

[AR15] A. Andoni and I. Razenshteyn. Optimal data-dependent hashing for approximate near neighbors. In *the Proc. 47th ACM Symp. Theory of Computing*, STOC'15, 2015.

[BG15] Y. Bartal and L. Gottlieb. Approximate nearest neighbor search for ℓ_p-spaces ($2 < p < \infty$) via embeddings. *CoRR*, abs/1512.01775, 2015.

[BKL06] A. Beygelzimer, S. Kakade, and J. Langford. Cover trees for nearest neighbor. In *Proc. 23rd Intern. Conf. Machine Learning*, ICML'06, pages 97–104, 2006.

[BRS11] Y. Bartal, B. Recht, and L. J. Schulman. Dimensionality reduction: Beyond the johnson-lindenstrauss bound. In *Proc. of the 22nd Annual ACM-SIAM Symp. on Discrete Algorithms*, SODA '11, pages 868–887. SIAM, 2011.

[DF08] S. Dasgupta and Y. Freund. Random projection trees and low dimensional manifolds. In *Proc. 40th Annual ACM Symp. Theory of Computing*, STOC'08, pages 537–546, 2008.

[DG03] S. Dasgupta and A. Gupta. An elementary proof of a theorem of Johnson and Lindenstrauss. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 22(1):60–65, 2003.

[DIIM04] M. Datar, N. Immorlica, P. Indyk, and V. S. Mirrokni. Locality-sensitive hashing scheme based on p-stable distributions. In *Proc. 20th Annual Symp. Computational Geometry*, SCG'04, pages 253–262, 2004.

[GK15] L. Gottlieb and R. Krauthgamer. A nonlinear approach to dimension reduction. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 54(2):291–315, 2015.

[GKL03] A. Gupta, R. Krauthgamer, and J. R. Lee. Bounded geometries, fractals, and low-distortion embeddings. In *Proc. 44th Annual IEEE Symp. Foundations of Computer Science*, FOCS'03, pages 534–541, 2003.

[Har04] S. Har-Peled. Clustering motion. *DCG*, 31(4):545–565, 2004.

[HIM12] S. Har-Peled, P. Indyk, and R. Motwani. Approximate nearest neighbor: Towards removing the curse of dimensionality. *Theory of Computing*, 8(1):321–350, 2012.

[HPM05] S. Har-Peled and M. Mendel. Fast construction of nets in low dimensional metrics, and their applications. In *Proc. 21st Annual Symp. Computational Geometry*, SCG'05, pages 150–158, 2005.

[IM98] P. Indyk and R. Motwani. Approximate nearest neighbors: Towards removing the curse of dimensionality. In *Proc. 30th Annual ACM Symp. Theory of Computing*, STOC'98, pages 604–613, 1998.

[IN07] P. Indyk and A. Naor. Nearest-neighbor-preserving embeddings. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 3(3), 2007.

[JDS11] H. Jegou, M. Douze, and C. Schmid. Product quantization for nearest neighbor search. *IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 33(1):117–128, 2011.

[JL84] W. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss. Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space. 26:189–206, 1984.

[KL04] R. Krauthgamer and J. R. Lee. Navigating nets: Simple algorithms for proximity search. In *Proc. 15th Annual ACM-SIAM Symp. Discrete Algorithms*, SODA'04, pages 798–807, 2004.

[KR02] D. R. Karger and M. Ruhl. Finding nearest neighbors in growth-restricted metrics. In *Proc. 34th Annual ACM Symp. Theory of Computing*, STOC'02, pages 741–750, 2002.

[Mei93] S. Meiser. Point location in arrangements of hyperplanes. *Inf. Comput.* , 106(2):286–303, 1993.

[Mou10] D. M. Mount. ANN programming manual: http://www.cs.umd.edu/∼mount/ANN/, 2010.

[OWZ14] R. O'Donnell, Y. Wu, and Y. Zhou. Optimal lower bounds for locality-sensitive hashing (except when q is tiny). *ACM Trans. Comput. Theory*, 6(1):5:1–5:13, 2014.

[Pan06] R. Panigrahy. Entropy based nearest neighbor search in high dimensions. In *Proc. 17th Annual ACM-SIAM Symp. Discrete Algorithms*, SODA'06, pages 1186–1195, 2006.

[Vem12] S. Vempala. Randomly-oriented k-d trees adapt to intrinsic dimension. In *Proc. Foundations of Software Technology & Theor. Computer Science*, pages 48–57, 2012.